www.az-reporting.com



Phoenix, AZ

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA POWER PLANT AND TRANSMISSION
2	LINE SITING COMMITTEE
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY)
5	FOR A CERTIFICATE OF )
6	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY ) AUTHORIZING THE BAGDAD 115kV )
7	TRANSMISSION LINE RELOCATION ) DOCKET NO. PROJECT, WHICH INCLUDES THE ) L-00000D-09-0161-00143
8	RELOCATION OF A 115kV ) TRANSMISSION LINE AND ASSOCIATED )
9	FACILITIES ORIGINATING FROM AN ) EXISTING APS CAPACITOR BANK ) CASE NO. 00143
10	STATION, SECTION 10, TOWNSHIP 14 ) NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, G&SRB&M, AND)
11	AN EXISTING FMI MINE SUBSTATION, ) SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 15 NORTH, )
12	RANGE 9 WEST, G&SRB&M, EACH ) LOCATED WEST OF THE )
13	UNINCORPORATED TOWN OF BAGDAD, ) AZ. )
14	) PREFILING CONFERENCE
15	At: Phoenix, Arizona
16	Date: March 19, 2009
17	Filed: APR 0 2 2009 ⊝ ⊝ ∾ □
18	Adizona Compration Commission
19	DOCKETED TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
20	APR 2 2009
21	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
22	Court Reporting Suite 502
23	ORIGINAL 2200 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1481
24	By: COLETTE E. ROSS Cortified Poportor
25	Prepared for: Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50658
	SITING COMMITTEE
	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. (602) 274-9944

## FOR INTERNAL & INTERAGENCY USE ONLY

Pursuant to the contract with Arizona
Reporting Service all transcripts are
available electronically for internal
agency use <u>only</u>.

Do not copy, forward or transmit outside
the Arizona Corporation Commission.

1	BE IT REMEMBERED that the above-entitled and		
2	numbered matter came on to be heard before the Arizona		
3	Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee, at		
4	1275 West Washington Street, Second Floor Conference		
5	Room, Phoenix, Arizona, commencing at 10:03 a.m. on the		
6	19th of March, 2009.		
7 8	BEFORE: John Foreman, Chairman		
9	APPEARANCES:		
10	For the Arizona Corporation Commission Staff:		
11	Ms. Janet Wagner		
12	Ms. Amanda Ho Mr. Wesley Van Cleve 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
13			
14			
15	For Arizona Public Service Corporation:		
16	LEWIS AND ROCA, L.L.P. By Mr. Thomas H. Campbell		
17	40 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004		
18	THOCHEN, HEEZONG 05004		
19			
20	Also present:		
21	Mr. Paul Herndon, APS Project Director Ms. Tara Williams, Assistant to Chairman Foreman		
22	Mb. Idia Williams, Assistant to Chailman Foleman		
23			
24	COLETTE E. ROSS Certified Reporter		
25	Certificate No. 50658		

- 1 CHMN. FOREMAN: This is a preapplication filing
- 2 conference in the matter of, looks like it is going to
- 3 be the -- we are going to call it the Bagdad relocation
- 4 project. Is that --
- 5 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.
- 6 CHMN. FOREMAN: Let me have you folks identify
- 7 yourselves for the record, please.
- 8 MR. CAMPBELL: Tom Campbell of Lewis and Roca
- 9 representing APS. And with me is Mr. Paul Herndon, the
- 10 project director.
- 11 MS. WAGNER: Janet Wagner, Amanda Ho and Wesley
- 12 Van Cleve for the Arizona Corporation Commission Staff.
- 13 CHMN. FOREMAN: The record should also reflect
- 14 that Tara Williams is here.
- Now, we have, as I understand it, the potential
- 16 of this application being filed in the near future.
- 17 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. Mr. Chairman, our current
- 18 plan would be to file the application on March 27, which
- 19 is a Friday, unless it, unless for timing and
- 20 publication purposes we discover today it makes more
- 21 sense to file it the following Monday, which would be
- 22 March 30th, but one of those two days.
- We had tentatively proposed to have hearings --
- 24 we think this case will only have a one-day hearing but
- 25 we are going to suggest that we set aside two just for

- purposes of leasing facilities. We were hoping to have 1
- 2 the hearings on May 18th and 19th. We have a Hampton
- 3 Inn facility reserved for those two days.
- 4 We do not expect, other than Staff, we don't
- expect any intervenors in this case. We expect it to be 5
- a fairly brief case. And that's the logistics, the 6
- logistics of it. 7
- CHMN. FOREMAN: Now, your little brochure that 8
- 9 you have given me indicates that you are anticipating
- relocating approximately three miles of an existing 10
- 115kV transmission line. Is all of the area that is 11
- related to the relocation rural; we are not dealing with 12
- any inhabited areas? 13
- MR. CAMPBELL: Well, Mr. Chairman, I think 14
- that's correct. If it would be helpful, Mr. Herndon 15
- could probably take five minutes and just walk you and 16
- the Staff through an overview of the project area, and 17
- 18 that might be helpful --
- CHMN. FOREMAN: That would be great. 19
- MR. CAMPBELL: -- with your permission. 20
- MR. HERNDON: For those of you that may not be 21
- familiar with the town of Bagdad, where the Bagdad mine 22
- is, a large copper mine that has been owned by a number 23
- 24 of different entities throughout time, it actually has
- been in the production of copper since, I believe, the 25

- 1 late 1800s, it is a, it is in Bagdad, Arizona, which is
- 2 in Yavapai County. If you can kind of visualize going
- 3 up Highway 93 to Kingman, there is a road that you turn
- 4 off. And you go about 20 miles back in the wilderness
- 5 area there to Bagdad. The town of Bagdad, it is
- 6 unincorporated. Bagdad, for all intents and purposes,
- 7 the town is solely there for the operation of the mine.
- 8 So most of the people that live there work at the mine
- 9 or work in businesses that support the mine for the most
- 10 part.
- 11 Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold, Inc. purchased
- 12 the assets of the Phelps Dodge Mining Company in 2008.
- 13 We started working on this project, were asked by Phelps
- 14 Dodge in early 2000 -- actually in about, yes, early
- 15 2008 for us to take a look at moving a portion of the
- 16 existing line that serves their mine. It is a 115kV
- 17 line. And what they have is they have an approved
- 18 expansion plan for the mine. And the area where the
- 19 line is currently located at some point in time is going
- 20 to be a fill area. So the poles which are, you know,
- 21 60-, 70-foot poles will actually be totally underground.
- 22 So we have to move them.
- 23 If you look at the map on the back of the
- 24 handout there, the little brown kind of looking line
- 25 that you see going from the triangle on the southern

- 1 part of the map to the northern part, that's the
- 2 existing line. It is about three miles long. The red
- 3 line is the proposed relocation route.
- 4 The thing that is of major importance here is
- 5 that the yellow surface map color there is BLM land,
- 6 Bureau of Land Management land. The blue is Arizona
- 7 State Department trust lands. And the gray is private
- 8 property that, actually that is owned by the mine. So
- 9 this line will all be relocated on predominantly public
- 10 land and a little bit on private land that is actually
- 11 owned by the mine.
- 12 There is one property owner in the vicinity of
- 13 the project. If you, if you can kind of see this little
- 14 square down here where my finger is pointing to --
- MS. WAGNER: The gray one?
- 16 MR. HERNDON: -- the gray one down here, there
- 17 is a private ranch owned by Mr. Ed Kellis. Mr. Kellis
- 18 is a former employee of the mine, does ranching, runs
- 19 cattle in the area. He worked for the mine for a number
- 20 of years. We have had a number of conversations with
- 21 him. He is supportive of the project, has asked us
- 22 simply to, if we have to cut fences, to make sure to
- 23 repair them and put cattle guards in so his cattle will
- 24 remain whole in that area.
- Like I said, about a year and a half ago when

- 1 the mine folks asked us to take a look at this, we began
- 2 exploring the possibility of moving this. When we found
- 3 out that we needed to move the line significantly to the
- 4 south to get out of their future mine expansion plans,
- 5 we found out right away that to do that we were going to
- 6 have to cross that yellow or federal BLM land. So we
- 7 started, filed an application with the Kingman field
- 8 office of the BLM in May of 2008 and have been in the
- 9 process of, in a NEPA, National Environment Policy Act,
- 10 process, processing an environmental assessment. We
- 11 have hired the environmental consulting firm of the EPG,
- 12 the environmental planning group, that has actually been
- 13 working for the BLM at the direction of APS and FMI.
- I was in Kingman yesterday. We expect to have a
- 15 federal decision and a finding of no significant impact
- 16 with regard to the EA by the end of April of this year,
- 17 kind of, you know, the culmination of about a year
- 18 process for federal land.
- 19 If we also look at the map, at the very bottom,
- 20 the very southerly portion of the map, you will see a
- 21 very small segment there. It is around 3,000 feet on
- 22 the blue area. That's Arizona State trust lands. We
- 23 have had meetings with the State Land Department and
- 24 have filed an application with the State for a right of
- 25 way across their lands that they are currently

- 1 processing. And they are in support of the project, and
- 2 we fully expect to get a right-of-way from the State
- 3 Land Department.
- All in all, this is in a very, very remote area,
- 5 very difficult to get to, very, very difficult terrain.
- 6 And for the most part, I think in the federal scoping
- 7 process, where we have solicited comments on this, I
- 8 think we had a total of seven comments in that area.
- 9 And most of them came from federal or from tribal
- 10 interests, not in the area so much but just, generally
- 11 speaking, the Indians have been contacted and so they
- 12 have had some issues or have asked to be added to the
- 13 mailing list. And we have been in communication with
- 14 them since the get go.
- 15 CHMN. FOREMAN: How close is the nearest Indian
- 16 territory to this?
- 17 MR. HERNDON: Many, many miles away. I don't
- 18 know exactly.
- 19 CHMN. FOREMAN: Okay. You mentioned that the EA
- 20 process was continuing with BLM. If there is a delay in
- 21 that process do you anticipate it will cause a delay in
- 22 our application process?
- MR. HERNDON: I don't believe so. The reason I
- 24 say that is, based on our conversations with the BLM
- 25 yesterday, a decision, an approval decision from them I

- 1 believe is imminent and, if it doesn't happen by the end
- 2 of April, that it will happen very shortly thereafter.
- 3 CHMN. FOREMAN: Okay.
- 4 MR. HERNDON: I believe we will have that prior
- 5 to a hearing in mid May.
- 6 CHMN. FOREMAN: All right. And does Staff have
- 7 any, has Staff had any contact with anybody who has
- 8 indicated an interest in this project?
- 9 MS. WAGNER: No.
- 10 CHMN. FOREMAN: All right.
- MS. WAGNER: That doesn't mean there hasn't;
- 12 there is none that I have been aware of. Let me make
- 13 that clear.
- 14 CHMN. FOREMAN: Okay. Let's see. I have got a
- 15 couple of -- I didn't realize I was going to have so
- 16 many people here. I have got a couple of draft
- 17 procedural orders and a couple draft sets of conditions
- 18 that I pass on to you. And I will have both of those
- 19 marked and filed here as a part of this proceeding.
- I would like to go through the procedural order
- 21 with you because it basically sets forth the process
- 22 that I hope will evolve.
- First off, we had before a preevidentiary
- 24 hearing; in the past I have used a preevidentiary
- 25 hearing. I want to do the same thing this time. We

- will schedule it within ten days before the evidentiary 1
- hearing. I do that because that's after the time that
- is scheduled for intervention by anybody so we can 3
- assume that we are not going to have anybody 4
- intervening. 5
- Since we just have two parties, I will want you 6
- folks to get together, exchange your witness summaries 7
- and exchange your exhibits. Exhibits will be numbered 8
- A-1 through whatever and CC-1 through whatever. And 9
- obviously if we want to get this done in one day, 10
- everybody needs to get their cards on the table before 11
- the hearing so the other side will have a fair 12
- opportunity to deal with what the other side is going to 13
- present. 14
- I think, do you have a copy of a proposed 15
- 16 notice?
- 17 MR. CAMPBELL: I do. This is a marked up copy.
- I was going to send -- I will send everyone one by 18
- There are a couple items there that I think we 19 e-mail.
- would like to talk about. 20
- That includes everything that had been in prior 21
- There are a couple recommendations we have in 22
- this case for your consideration that we have discussed 23
- with Staff. 24
- CHMN. FOREMAN: All right. I think there are 25

- 1 two issues that I would like to address. One would be
- 2 the public comment session. Now, let's see, you are
- 3 setting this up at the Hampton Inn Suites in Goodyear.
- 4 And about how far away is that from the location?
- 5 MR. CAMPBELL: Bagdad? It would be a couple
- 6 hours drive.
- 7 CHMN. FOREMAN: Okay. Now, I assume that the
- 8 applicant will provide some sort of an aerial photograph
- 9 tour, a Google tour, something like that.
- MR. HERNDON: We can do that. I believe we can
- 11 do that. I will need to check to see what availability
- 12 of adequate photo imagery is in the area. But we will
- 13 be happy to provide that if that's available.
- 14 CHMN. FOREMAN: If the, if the committee wanted
- 15 to take a tour, is it possible to go along the proposed
- 16 route?
- 17 MR. HERNDON: No. There is an existing dirt
- 18 road out there that is, it actually is there for the
- 19 most part going down to that one piece of private
- 20 property there that I mentioned. Then it does go on to
- 21 the west beyond that.
- But a route tour here is extremely problematic.
- 23 It is in very, very bad terrain and it is very difficult
- 24 to get to. For the most part if you drive on the
- 25 existing road you can only see small bits and segments

- 1 of where the line will go. The line is -- actually we
- 2 do have a centerline established for the line and we do
- 3 have big laths or big poles kind of sticking up. It is
- 4 like a PVC pipe rod that at certain vantage points you
- 5 can see portions of where a particular pole will be.
- 6 But it is very difficult to see the entire, the entire
- 7 alignment of the line from the existing road.
- 8 CHMN. FOREMAN: Well, we obviously have been
- 9 having some difficulties trying to engineer a tour that
- 10 is acceptable to or agreeable to all parties. So I am
- 11 wondering whether a tour would be needed in this case.
- Does Staff have a position on that?
- MS. WAGNER: We don't have a position. We don't
- 14 have any objection to there not being a tour.
- 15 CHMN. FOREMAN: Okay.
- MR. CAMPBELL: We would recommend against a tour
- 17 in this case --
- 18 CHMN. FOREMAN: Okay.
- MR. CAMPBELL: -- obviously subject to what the
- 20 committee wants.
- 21 CHMN. FOREMAN: Certainly.
- MR. CAMPBELL: We don't think this is one that
- 23 it would be easy or particularly helpful probably.
- MR. HERNDON: And, quite frankly, it is a bit
- 25 dangerous. I took the two BLM representatives there one

- 1 day. It was in the summertime, early summer, but it was
- 2 hot, got into probably the most remote area out there
- 3 and had a flat tire, and no cellphone reception in that
- 4 area. Luckily the spare was good and we were able to
- 5 get out, but it was a bit scary for a little while so...
- 6 And it does take a four-wheel drive vehicle, by the way.
- 7 CHMN. FOREMAN: Okay. Ordinarily it is my
- 8 reading of the law that it is the Chairman's decision as
- 9 to whether or not there will be a tour. I like to find
- 10 out whether any of my committee members are interested
- in a tour or feel a tour would be valuable.
- In a similar case in the recent past Staff has
- 13 objected to me polling the Committee to see whether
- 14 anybody was interested in a tour. So I am going to, in
- 15 order to avoid controversy, I am just going to say we
- 16 will not do a tour. We will wait until the hearing and
- 17 talk about it with Committee members at the hearing.
- 18 And if there are Committee members who feel that a tour
- 19 would be valuable, then we will cross that bridge when
- 20 we come to it.
- 21 Is that agreeable?
- 22 MR. CAMPBELL: That's fine. Mr. Chairman --
- MS. WAGNER: That sounds fine.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Mr. Chairman, if I could look at
- 25 this.

- 1 CHMN. FOREMAN: Sure.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Would you like us to keep in the
- 3 notice that we are preparing, actually your notice that
- 4 you will be filing but we will be publishing, would you
- 5 like us to keep reference to a tour as something that --
- 6 what it says now is:
- 7 The Committee may conduct a tour at a future
- 8 date. If a tour is conducted, a map will be available.
- 9 Members can follow in private vehicles.
- 10 Would you like me to keep that paragraph in or
- 11 delete it?
- 12 CHMN. FOREMAN: Yes, I think it would be
- 13 appropriate to keep that language in because it is
- 14 possible --
- MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.
- 16 CHMN. FOREMAN: -- that we will end up doing a
- 17 tour. But obviously it should be may and not will.
- 18 MR. CAMPBELL: And it is, that's the way it is
- 19 phrased. That's the way it is always phrased.
- 20 CHMN. FOREMAN: The other question then is the
- 21 public comment session. We have had traditionally in
- 22 larger matters an evening public comment session with
- 23 seven people who indicated an interest. I am wondering
- 24 if we could accommodate public comment during the day.
- MR. CAMPBELL: That would be the applicant's

- 1 recommendation in this particular case.
- 2 CHMN. FOREMAN: Staff have any --
- MS. WAGNER: We don't have any position one way
- 4 or the other but we don't object to having public
- 5 comment in the day.
- 6 CHMN. FOREMAN: Okay. All right. Let's then
- 7 just indicate that public comment will be, an
- 8 opportunity for public comment will be given during the
- 9 day. And I am assuming that you will have slips
- 10 available for people who want to come in and sign up so
- 11 that we can get their names and addresses and so on.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. Make a note of that. And
- 13 then we won't have a reference to an evening --
- 14 CHMN. FOREMAN: Correct.
- 15 MR. CAMPBELL: -- session.
- 16 CHMN. FOREMAN: You can just take the reference
- 17 of the evening public comment session out.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.
- 19 CHMN. FOREMAN: All right. That's good.
- Now, I will want you to do a draft notice, get
- 21 approval from Staff as to form and then send it over to
- 22 me for final approval before you file it.
- 23 Let me call your attention to paragraph 6 of the
- 24 draft order. In it I indicate that the parties are not
- 25 to communicate with any member of the Committee about

- 1 basically anything that relates to the application while
- 2 the application is pending. You may communicate with me
- 3 about the listed matters if you communicate in writing
- 4 or you send an e-mail. Then a copy of the writing or
- 5 the e-mail has to be filed with Docket Control. So
- 6 hopefully that will resolve --
- 7 MS. WAGNER: I have a question --
- 8 CHMN. FOREMAN: Sure.
- 9 MS. WAGNER: -- about paragraph 6. I haven't
- 10 obviously read it all the way through yet. Is it your
- 11 intention in paragraph 6 for it to be more restrictive
- 12 than the ex parte rule that applies to the Committee?
- 13 CHMN. FOREMAN: Yes. My intention in
- 14 paragraph 6 is to draft it in a way that will avoid
- 15 conflict with Staff. And so --
- 16 MS. WAGNER: Is it your understanding that Staff
- 17 has been urging a practice that is more restrictive than
- 18 the current ex parte rule?
- 19 CHMN. FOREMAN: I don't want to go down that
- 20 path unless you do right now. I am just trying to avoid
- 21 problems. I don't want to, I don't want to have any
- 22 conflicts with anybody on this. And so --
- 23 MS. WAGNER: And I hope you don't take my
- 24 questions as intending to raise a conflict. I just
- 25 simply had questions and wanted to be sure I understood.

- 1 CHMN. FOREMAN: Sure. Yes, well, I am trying to
- 2 draw a bright line in an area where I don't think a
- 3 bright line existed. And you can, reasonable people can
- 4 disagree as to where the present ex parte rules line is
- 5 drawn and how bright it is. And since I am not sure and
- 6 we have had a problem in that area before, I am drawing
- 7 a real bright line here so that everybody knows what I
- 8 expect and so that we can avoid problems in the future.
- 9 MS. WAGNER: All right. If we have comments on
- 10 this, you would prefer them in writing and filed with
- 11 you?
- 12 CHMN. FOREMAN: Absolutely.
- MS. WAGNER: All right. I simply haven't had a
- 14 chance to read it all carefully and digest it.
- 15 CHMN. FOREMAN: Sure. It is the same draft that
- 16 accompanied the order in the last case which was last
- 17 week.
- 18 MS. WAGNER: Which I haven't had a chance to
- 19 read that one either.
- 20 CHMN. FOREMAN: Okay, okay. Sure. And if you
- 21 have comments that you would like to make about that,
- 22 that would be great.
- MS. WAGNER: All right.
- 24 CHMN. FOREMAN: Again, when an agenda is filed,
- 25 if there are objections, I will want Staff to let me

- 1 know if you have objections to the agenda so that we can
- 2 get those sorted out before the hearing.
- MS. WAGNER: You mean the draft notice? 3
- 4 CHMN. FOREMAN: No. I mean an agenda.
- MR. CAMPBELL: This is the open meeting agenda 5
- that is posted at the Corporation Commission? 6
- 7 CHMN. FOREMAN: Yes.
- MS. WAGNER: I quess, I just want to be sure I 8
- 9 understand, it is the agenda that is part of the open
- meeting notice? 10
- CHMN. FOREMAN: 11 Yes.
- MS. WAGNER: Is that the item? 12
- CHMN. FOREMAN: That is. 13
- 14 MS. WAGNER: All right.
- MR. CAMPBELL: And, Mr. Chairman, I assume your 15
- office will then send us a copy electronically or 16
- somehow of that notice? 17
- 1.8 CHMN. FOREMAN: Absolutely.
- 19 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay, thanks.
- 20 CHMN. FOREMAN: Absolutely. And although
- because Staff is a party and you are not required, if 21
- you see a problem, please let us know. 22
- 23 MR. CAMPBELL: All right.
- 24 CHMN. FOREMAN: The idea is to get this done
- 25 right the first time so that everybody is on board

- 1 together and we don't get halfway through or all the way
- 2 through a hearing and then have somebody say, oh, by the
- 3 way, a couple months ago you made a mistake.
- 4 Let's see. We have talked about, I think, most
- 5 everything else, except I do want you to exchange
- 6 proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law, CECs and
- 7 conditions in advance. Since this looks like it is
- 8 going to be a short hearing, it doesn't look like there
- 9 is going to be a continuation of the hearing, then you
- 10 will need to do that before, obviously, the hearing
- 11 date.
- I would like, again, to narrow the disagreements
- 13 between the parties as much as possible. And the idea
- 14 here is to focus the attention of the Committee members
- 15 on what is important and to have the benefit of the
- 16 input of the parties in writing prior to the hearing so
- 17 that we are not just speculating about things in general
- 18 but we actually have some specific written material that
- 19 we can refer to.
- Now, the draft conditions, and I stress that
- 21 they are draft conditions that I have provided here,
- 22 have at the end some very summary findings of fact and
- 23 conclusions of law. Those are about as general and as
- 24 nondescript as I think are appropriate under the
- 25 statute. I am perfectly willing to consider, and

- 1 although it may not be, this may not be the case in
- 2 which to do it, if there are more specific, if there are
- more and more specific findings of fact and conclusions 3
- of law that anybody thinks are appropriate, then let's 4
- 5 get those out and get them on the table earlier on so
- that we can take a look at them at the end. 6
- 7 MR. CAMPBELL: Okav.
- CHMN. FOREMAN: That will help the Committee to 8
- make its -- focus the arguments before the Committee and 9
- help the Committee to make its decisions in an 10
- 11 intelligent fashion.
- 12 MR. CAMPBELL: So prior to the hearing, you
- would like us to file preferably jointly with Staff a 13
- proposed CEC that the parties have agreed on or at least 14
- to identify, if there are disagreements, identify what 15
- 16 those disagreements are and have that filed before the
- 17 hearing?
- CHMN. FOREMAN: Yes, and conditions. 18
- 19 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.
- CHMN. FOREMAN: So we have got a document that 20
- 21 says parties agree on 90 percent of this, here is the
- 10 percent they don't agree on. 22
- MR. CAMPBELL: 23 Okay.
- CHMN. FOREMAN: And the 10 percent they don't 24
- 25 agree on, here is what the applicant says, here is what

- Staff says, so we can take a look at those two options
- and decide whether we want to follow the two options or 2
- 3 throw them away, the same thing with the rest of the
- items of the CEC, or the conditions. 4
- MR. CAMPBELL: Okay, I understand. 5
- 6 CHMN. FOREMAN: Very good.
- MS. WAGNER: And I think, did you mention
- earlier you are intending to file this? 8
- 9 CHMN. FOREMAN: Yes.
- 10 MS. WAGNER: When? Because there is no Docket
- 11 No.
- CHMN. FOREMAN: It will be filed with the 12
- 13 transcript when the transcript is filed. I will just
- 14 make it an exhibit to the hearing so it will be in the
- docket. 15
- 16 MS. WAGNER: And I should know how this works
- but I don't know. You will file the application at the 17
- Commission. At that point it gets a Docket No. and then 18
- 19 this is filed under that Docket No.?
- 20 CHMN. FOREMAN: Yes.
- 21 MS. WAGNER: Is that --
- CHMN. FOREMAN: If we continue consecutive 22
- 23 numbering, my guess is this is going to be No. 143.
- where that obviously is, the number will be the number 24
- that Docket Control gives it. 25

- 1 MS. WAGNER: Assigns to it, sure.
- 2 CHMN. FOREMAN: All right. That, I think, runs
- 3 through my checklist. Do you folks have any -- we will
- 4 be scheduling, as I said, a preevidentiary hearing, but
- 5 we can do that here.
- 6 You have said May 18 and 19. What days of the
- 7 week are those?
- 8 MR. CAMPBELL: Monday and Tuesday.
- 9 CHMN. FOREMAN: Monday and Tuesday. I think
- 10 those days will work. And we previously checked with
- 11 Committee members about availability in May for the
- 12 other case.
- So I think the other case is the week before,
- 14 isn't it, Tara?
- 15 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, it is the week before. And
- 16 the 18th and 19th, I haven't heard from all of the
- 17 Committee members, but it seems that those would
- 18 probably work.
- 19 CHMN. FOREMAN: Okay, very good. Other
- 20 questions?
- 21 MR. CAMPBELL: I have a couple, just a couple,
- 22 just a couple logistical things that I would like for
- 23 timing.
- 24 CHMN. FOREMAN: Sure.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Because the timing of getting the

- 1 published notice within the right time frames always is
- 2 a little tricky, in particularly in this case because in
- 3 this case the newspaper, there is the Sunday Prescott
- 4 newspaper. I believe it is Prescott, isn't it? The
- 5 Sunday Prescott newspaper is the only newspaper that's
- 6 actually delivered to Bagdad. And so what we would like
- 7 to do to comply with the two publication requirements is
- 8 on consecutive Sundays have the notice in the Sunday
- 9 Prescott paper which is then actually delivered to
- 10 Baqdad.
- MS. WAGNER: You are talking about this draft?
- MR. CAMPBELL: I am talking about this notice.
- 13 Actually it will be the Chairman's notice that's signed
- 14 and filed with Docket Control, but we, the applicant,
- 15 usually takes responsibility for publishing it. And we
- 16 have to get the notice to the newspaper the Wednesday
- 17 before the Sunday, so...
- MS. WAGNER: So what does that mean in terms of
- 19 dates?
- 20 MR. CAMPBELL: There are two days we can do this
- 21 and that's what I wanted to talk about.
- If we file on Friday, the 27th, let's assume
- 23 that the notice of the application is then delivered to
- 24 the Chairman who then signs the notice and delivers it,
- 25 files it back with Docket Control. And let's assume

- 1 that would happen then on Monday, March 30th. We would
- 2 then deliver the notice to the Prescott paper that
- 3 Wednesday, which would be April 1st. And it would be
- 4 published then on the 5th and the 12th of April, which
- 5 would be more than 30 days before the 18th, which is the
- 6 first day of hearing. That's probably the easiest way
- 7 to do it.
- 8 The other way, if you wanted to publish it the
- 9 two earlier Sundays, would be to provide the newspaper a
- 10 copy of the hearing that has been approved by the Staff
- 11 and the Chairman on the 25th, two days before we file,
- 12 provide it that day just showing it is signed on Friday
- 13 the 27th, and then publish it. But because when you get
- 14 to copying and collating and things like that -- I guess
- 15 I am hearing myself talk, thinking, Paul.
- What I would suggest is that we try to file on
- 17 the 27th, no later than early the morning of the 30th.
- 18 If the Chairman believes he will be in the office and
- 19 available to sign it on the 30th, we would prepare a
- 20 dated notice that says the 30th, provide that to the
- 21 newspaper --
- 22 MS. WAGNER: That sounds much cleaner.
- MR. CAMPBELL: -- on the 5th and the 12th.
- 24 CHMN. FOREMAN: I have to make sure I am not
- 25 furloughed on that day.

- 1 MR. CAMPBELL: That's right. Let's also hope
- 2 Docket Control isn't furloughed on that day. That would
- 3 really be a problem.
- 4 MS. WAGNER: We haven't had furloughs yet.
- 5 CHMN. FOREMAN: Yes, I think either one of those
- 6 days will work.
- 7 What is Staff's position about -- so you were
- 8 talking about publishing on the 29th or publishing on
- 9 the 5th?
- 10 MR. CAMPBELL: I think what we are suggesting is
- 11 to publish twice. We would publish on the 5th and the
- 12 12th. Those are the two Sundays.
- MS. WAGNER: Based on what you have described, I
- 14 think that sounds cleaner.
- 15 MR. CAMPBELL: And then we will have an
- 16 application filed on Friday the 27th in all likelihood,
- 17 no later than Monday the 30th. And then as soon as the
- 18 Chairman has seen the application and can confirm that
- 19 the notice has been signed and filed, we will get that
- 20 to the newspaper that Wednesday, April 1st. And then it
- 21 will run those two Sundays. And that should take care
- 22 of the publication requirement which will fit -- well,
- 23 back up for a second.
- Janet, I am trying to think, does it have to be
- 25 published twice?

- 1 Here is the problem. The two publications have
- 2 to be within ten days of the filing of the notice.
- MS. WAGNER: I don't know, and I didn't bring, I
- 4 didn't bring the statutes.
- 5 MR. CAMPBELL: I know that that was an issue
- 6 before. We will have to look at that. I don't think I
- 7 brought the statutes.
- 8 The Sunday publication is what creates that
- 9 problem. That's why at one point we thought about doing
- 10 the March 29th publication and the April 5th, which we
- 11 could still do. We need to look at that, look at the
- 12 issue.
- I don't know if I am being clear on the issue
- 14 but the issue is --
- 15 CHMN. FOREMAN: That's why I am looking at my
- 16 statute.
- 17 MR. CAMPBELL: -- from the date that -- whether
- 18 both publications have to be within ten days or just the
- 19 first one has to be within ten days. I know they have
- 20 be more than 30 days before the hearing. But there
- 21 is -- I know that issue has arisen before.
- 22 CHMN. FOREMAN: Perhaps it would be appropriate
- 23 to file, to publish in a paper of statewide circulation
- 24 like the Republic and the local paper.
- MS. WAGNER: That's a good idea.

- 1 CHMN. FOREMAN: Do that on the first Sunday
- 2 or -- Sunday is probably as good as anything because my
- 3 guess is that there will be some people in the vicinity
- 4 who will take the Sunday Republic before they would take
- 5 it during the rest of the week. So if you would publish
- 6 in the Prescott paper and the Republic, did that on a
- 7 Sunday, and then I think that might solve your problem.
- 8 MR. CAMPBELL: It would. Frankly we were trying
- 9 to avoid the Republic only because that's a \$30,000
- 10 expense for the customer in this case.
- 11 CHMN, FOREMAN: Well --
- MR. CAMPBELL: But we can certainly do that.
- 13 CHMN. FOREMAN: I am willing to be persuaded
- 14 that it would be -- I can't find the --
- MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, I don't think it is crystal
- 16 clear. That's why I am not remembering it specifically
- 17 myself. Maybe we should -- we will go back, look at
- 18 that, try to work, see if the Staff and applicant can
- 19 agree on what the timing is and then communicate that to
- 20 the Chair.
- 21 CHMN. FOREMAN: My preference actually would be
- 22 Republic and the local paper because I think that would
- 23 have the best chance of notifying those people with an
- 24 interest in the area.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

- 1 CHMN. FOREMAN: You may have some people who
- 2 don't live in the area who nevertheless have property in
- 3 the area and would be affected by it. And so I would
- 4 feel more comfortable with the Republic and the local
- 5 paper.
- 6 MR. HERNDON: I guess my only comment on that,
- 7 having been very familiar with the project and certainly
- 8 very noncontroversial to this point, typically on former
- 9 APS projects we have run a pretty big ad in the Arizona
- 10 Republic, like Tom says, in the \$30,000 range. I think
- 11 the real requirement is just to file something in the
- 12 legal notice section of the newspaper. And I am
- 13 wondering if that's adequate in this particular case.
- 14 CHMN. FOREMAN: Well, get together, talk about
- 15 it, tell me what you think, cite me the authority.
- 16 MR. CAMPBELL: The large ad, legally that's
- 17 right, the large ads have been at the request of the
- 18 Committee in prior cases. And, okay, well, let's --
- 19 CHMN. FOREMAN: As I said, even if it is not
- 20 legally required, I think I would probably feel more
- 21 comfortable with --
- MR. CAMPBELL: The Republic.
- 23 CHMN. FOREMAN: -- with the Republic so we are
- 24 assured that we have cast our net and that we have done
- 25 everything reasonably possible to alert anybody who

- 1 might have an interest that would be brought -- involved
- 2 in this application.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. How about if we work with
- 4 our communications folks and see what the options are to
- 5 use the Republic and see what the various cost items
- 6 are? And maybe we can talk with Staff about what they
- 7 think would be an acceptable size ad in this particular
- 8 case.
- 9 CHMN. FOREMAN: Yes. I am not thinking that you
- 10 need to put a banner headline on the sports page.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, right.
- MR. HERNDON: I am assuming if we do something in
- 13 the Republic that we will only need to do one in the
- 14 local.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Right. We meet the two
- 16 publication requirement if we have one in the Republic
- 17 within ten days and then one in the Prescott paper
- 18 within ten days.
- MR. HERNDON: We will explore that.
- MR. CAMPBELL: The only other -- and what we
- 21 will do is work on a form and share it with Staff and
- 22 then provide you something that both of us find
- 23 acceptable.
- The only other issue I had is that, we have
- 25 talked with Staff about this a little bit, just so it is

- 1 clear on the record, in prior cases we also posted signs
- 2 along the project route. And based on Staff's
- 3 preference, we will post a sign in this case. But we
- 4 wanted to make sure it was clear to everybody on the
- 5 record there is only one place you can post a sign on
- 6 this route. There is one road that hits the route. So
- 7 we will post, we will post a sign, but unlike some of
- 8 the prior cases where you can post multiple signs along
- 9 the route, the terrain is such and the records are such
- 10 there is one place they can put a sign where the road
- 11 and the route are adjacent. So --
- 12 CHMN. FOREMAN: Okay.
- 13 MR. CAMPBELL: -- that's what we will do.
- 14 CHMN. FOREMAN: I do want actual notice, though,
- 15 to go to BLM, State Land, the guy whose property you
- 16 said is close by and obviously the mine so that
- 17 everybody gets a copy, a formal copy of the notice about
- 18 that same time.
- 19 MR. CAMPBELL: Of this notice?
- 20 CHMN. FOREMAN: That notice.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. And I think that Docket
- 22 may send it to most of those folks.
- 23 CHMN. FOREMAN: Just make sure somebody gets it
- 24 to them.
- 25 MS. WAGNER: Yes, I wasn't aware that Docket --

- 1 MR. CAMPBELL: They have a mailing list where
- 2 they send out these return receipt things on these
- 3 notices. I wouldn't be surprised. State Land
- 4 certainly, I don't know BLM, State Land, the mine owner,
- 5 and the property owner in that little square there.
- 6 CHMN. FOREMAN: To whom you referred earlier in
- 7 the proceeding?
- 8 MR. CAMPBELL: Would get a copy of this notice.
- 9 MR. HERNDON: By the way, Tom, did you mention
- 10 that we are going to actually send a copy of the notice
- 11 to all the residents of Baqdad?
- 12 CHMN. FOREMAN: That would be great.
- MR. CAMPBELL: This notice right here.
- 14 MR. HERNDON: Yes. We are going to target that
- 15 zip code and send the local notice to all the residents
- 16 in the town.
- 17 CHMN. FOREMAN: Excellent.
- MR. HERNDON: That's only about a thousand or
- 19 so.
- 20 CHMN. FOREMAN: It would be very helpful if you
- 21 had some sort document that documented the notice that
- 22 you have provided so that that's in the record so that
- 23 everybody can see what has been done with regard to
- 24 publication, what has been done with regard to giving
- 25 them actual notice through the mail or whatever.

1 MR. CAMPBELL: We have -- what we were 2 anticipating doing is doing that through Mr. Herndon's 3 testimony where we have exhibits showing everything, and 4 so there would be a transcript there. 5 CHMN. FOREMAN: That would be fine. I am just 6 offering the written alternative as a way to make sure that we had it there. 7 8 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. 9 CHMN. FOREMAN: Anything else? All right, very good. If something does come 10 11 up, please let me know. We will try to get it resolved as quickly as we can. 12 MR. CAMPBELL: Great. And in terms of the hotel 13 14 that we will have to pay for pretty soon, we will know, looks like the 18th is fine and we will quickly know if 15 that's not going to work? 16 17 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. 18 CHMN. FOREMAN: Okay. That would be good, too. 19 (The proceedings concluded at 10:46 a.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

```
1
    STATE OF ARIZONA
                            SS.
    COUNTY OF MARICOPA )
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
               I, COLETTE E. ROSS, Certified Reporter No.
 8
    50658 for the State of Arizona, do hereby certify that
    the foregoing printed pages constitute a full, true and
 9
10
    accurate transcript of the proceedings had in the
11
    foregoing matter, all done to the best of my skill and
12
    ability.
13
1.4
               WITNESS my hand this 1st day of April, 2009.
15
16
17
18
                             Certified Reporter
19
                             Certificate No. 50658
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



## BEFORE THE ARIZONA POWER PLANT AND TRANSMISSION LINE SITING COMMITTEE

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	)
OF [name of applicant],	) Arizona Corporation Commission
IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS	)
OF ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES §§ 40-360,	) Docket No. L-00000[docket number]
et seg., FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL	)
COMPATIBILITY AUTHORIZING THE CONSTRUCTION	) Case No. [case number]
OF [name of project], LOCATED [location of project]	)
IN [name of county] COUNTY, ARIZONA.	)
	j

## [Draft] PROCEDURAL ORDER

An application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility was filed in the above captioned matter with docket control of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") on [date of filing]. A copy of the application was transmitted to John Foreman, designee of the Attorney General of Arizona, Terry Goddard, as Chairman ("Chairman") and Presiding Officer of the Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee ("Line Siting Committee"). A.R.S. §§ 40-360.01(B)(1) and 40-360.03. As authorized by A.R.S. §§ 40-360.01(C) and (D), 40-360.04 and A.A.C. R14-3-201(E), the Chairman issues the following procedural order,

## IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The Applicant and all other potential parties ("persons" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 40-360(8) who intend to intervene or request to intervene pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-360.05(A)) shall advise the Chairman in writing on or before the time of the prehearing conference scheduled below if they disagree that the time limit for decision on the application by the Line Siting Committee set by A.R.S. § 40.360.04(D) is [date approximately 180 days after filing].
- 2. The Applicant shall arrange for the publication and posting of notice of the evidentiary hearing as agreed to at a pre-application hearing involving the Applicant and all known potential intervenors, in a form approved by the Chairman and circulated for approval as to form to all known potential interested parties. In addition, the Applicant shall submit a copy of the notice and present testimony describing the publication and posting of the notice at the evidentiary hearing.
- 3. The Applicant shall make arrangements for the evidentiary hearing to be held at [location of the evidentiary hearing], Arizona, beginning at 9:30 a.m. on [beginning date of hearing], and continuing on [continuing date(s) of the evidentiary hearing] at 9:30 a.m. In addition, the Applicant shall make arrangements for a public comment

session to be held at the same venue starting at 6:00 p.m. on [date of public comment session]. The Applicant shall make arrangements for further regular sessions on [potential future dates of the evidentiary hearing], and, if needed, additional public comment sessions on dates and at times to be determined later.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 4. The Applicant shall contact Michael Kearns, Chief Finance Officer of the Commission (602-542-0659) and advise him of the Applicant's position concerning reimbursement of the Line Siting Fund should the expenses of the hearings exceed the application fee and to discuss financial arrangements regarding hotel reservations and other expenses of the Line Siting Committee members. A.R.S. § 40-360.10. The Applicant shall advise the Chairman of the results of these discussions so the necessary information may be communicated to the Line Siting Committee members.
- 5. The Applicant and all other potential parties ("persons" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 40-360(8) who intend to intervene or request to intervene pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-360.05(A)) shall meet and confer on or before the beginning of the evidentiary hearing to determine whether any of the intervening parties have similar interests in the application process that will allow them jointly to present testimony on direct or cross-examination of witnesses or jointly to offer exhibits into evidence. The Applicant shall, and any other potential party may, report to the Chairman the results of the attempts of the parties to resolve the issues and to determine if common interests exist that will allow parties to jointly present evidence and argument or to avoid repetition of testimony and argument at the hearing.
- 6. The parties and any other potential parties ("persons" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 40-360(8) who intend to intervene or request to intervene pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-360.05(A)) shall not communicate with any member of the Line Siting Committee about any procedural matters, any factual issues or legal issues relating to the Application while the Application is pending before the Line Siting Committee, except that the parties may communicate with the Chairman during the time the Application is pending about procedural matters relating to the preparation of the Application for hearing, the hearing on the Application and the decision on the Application by the Line Siting Committee. Communication of the parties with the Chairman about any procedural matters during the time an Application is pending shall be in writing with a copy of the writing to all parties or known potential parties ("persons" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 40-360(8) who have expressed an intention to intervene or request to intervene pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-360.05(A)), unless it is a communication on the record at a pre-application hearing, at a procedural hearing or at the hearing on the application. Any party who initiates any written communication sent to the Chairman shall file with docket control of the Commission a copy of the communication including its distribution list within 10 days of sending the communication.

8

9

10 11

12 13

1516

14

17

18 19

20

22

21

24

23

25

26

- 7. Whenever an agenda is filed pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, the staff of the Commission, or if it is not a party, the Applicant, shall submit at least forty-eight hours before the hearing or meeting described in the agenda any objections, additions or corrections to the agenda in order to bring the agenda into compliance with A.R.S. § 38-431.02 in writing to the Chairman, serve a copy of upon all other parties and file a copy with docket control of the Commission.
- 8. In addition, all parties shall meet and confer as needed before, during and after the hearing to attempt to resolve any disputes amongst the parties. The parties also shall keep all other parties advised of their positions and intentions with regard to the presentation of evidence, witnesses and the application process in general to avoid delay, the presentation of repetitive evidence and any unfair advantage from surprise.
- 9. All parties shall prepare brief summaries of the expected direct testimony of each witness they will call. In lieu of a testimonial summary, a party may pre-file and exchange all or substantially all of the direct testimony of any witness. Testimonial summaries and pre-filed testimony should be filed no later than the last pre-hearing conference or three business days before the witness is to testify, whichever is later. Except for good cause no witness will be allowed to testify on direct examination concerning issues not reasonably identified in the pre-filed testimony or testimonial summary.
- 10. All parties shall meet, confer and exchange all exhibits the party plans to offer in evidence before the hearing or before they are referred to in testimony or offered in evidence. The Applicant shall, and other parties may, provide one or more three ring binders for the Chairman and each member of the Line Siting Committee to hold exhibits at the beginning of the hearing and as needed during the hearing. Each party shall prepare a numbered list of the exhibits and a copy of all exhibits suitable for placement in the binders that have been exchanged with the other parties that each party expects to offer in evidence at the hearing for the Chairman and each Line Siting Committee member. The exhibits shall be provided at the beginning of the hearing and during the hearing before reference to the exhibit is made in the hearing. Except for good cause no exhibit that was not exchanged with the other parties shall be considered at the hearing. Any exhibit to which reference is made during any hearing that is not offered or admitted into evidence shall be provided to the court reporter at the evidentiary hearing for inclusion in the record unless it is withdrawn and the Chairman determines its filing is not necessary to an understanding of the actions of the Committee.
- 11. All exhibits shall be consecutively numbered with the Applicant's exhibits denominated: A-1, A-2, etc. Each intervening party will be assigned by the Chairman a letter or letters of the alphabet as a preface with which to consecutively number its exhibits. For example, the Commission will number its exhibits: CC-1, CC-2, etc.

16

14

12

13

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

26

- 12. The Applicant may make an opening statement at the beginning of the hearing of no more than thirty minutes. Each other party may make an opening statement of no more than five minutes.
- 13. Public comment will be heard after the opening statements and at other times set by the Chairman during the hearing. See ¶ 3, above.
- 14. The Applicant shall arrange for transportation of any Committee members who wish to attend a tour of the locations where facilities in the application or similar facilities are located. The Applicant shall submit to the Chairman for approval in advance of the hearing a schedule and protocol agreed to by all parties for the tour. If all parties do not agree upon the schedule and protocol for the tour, the disagreements shall be submitted to the Chairman for resolution. The protocol shall identify the tour route, identify the location of any stops, and identify any witnesses who will accompany the tour. Counsel may ask brief explanatory questions of the identified witness or witnesses during the stops about the location, what can be seen from the location of the stop and the relevance of the location or view to the Application in the discretion of the Chairman. All witnesses who testify on the tour shall be sworn before their testimony. All guestions and answers shall be before a court reporter. The protocol shall provide for reasonable access to any testimony presented at stops on a tour to members of the public. Members of the public who wish to attend the tour shall be encouraged to notify the parties or the Commission staff in advance of their intention to attend.
- 15. Parties may present their witnesses in panels where appropriate. A party that intends to present witnesses in panels shall identify the members of any panel at the time it files its witness summaries.
- 16. The Applicant shall make arrangements for either the preparation of expedited court reporter transcripts of all pre-application hearings, pre-hearing procedural hearings and the evidentiary hearing, so that the transcripts are available for public inspection within three working days after each hearing date, as required by A.R.S. § 38-431.01D and § 40-360.04C. In addition, the Applicant shall file a certification with Commission docket control that it has provided a copy of the transcripts to at least two public libraries identified in the certification that are in the vicinity of the application.
- 17.On or before the final pre-hearing procedural hearing set below the Applicant shall, and the other parties may, file proposed findings of fact, proposed conclusions of law, the wording of any proposed Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and the wording of any proposed conditions to the Certificate.

25

26

- 18. If the beginning of closing arguments and the Line Siting Committee's deliberations are more than one week after the beginning of the hearing, the parties shall meet and confer after the hearing begins and before closing arguments concerning proposed findings of fact, proposed conclusions of law, a proposed Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and the wording of any proposed conditions to the Certificate. If the parties are able to agree upon part or all of the proposed findings of fact, proposed conclusions of law, proposed forms of Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and proposed wording of conditions to the Certificate, all that is agreed upon should be reduced to writing and filed with Commission docket control. If the parties are not able to agree completely, the Applicant shall, and all other parties may, file proposed findings of fact, proposed conclusions of law, proposed wording of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and proposed wording of conditions to the Certificate on the day before the beginning of closing arguments and the Line Siting Committee's deliberations. If the Applicant or any other party proposes conditions based upon conditions used in prior cases, each proposed condition from a prior case shall contain the case number of the most recent prior Certificate of Environmental Compatibility using the language approved by the Commission.
- 19. All witness summaries, proposed findings of fact, proposed conclusions of law, proposed Certificates of Environmental Compatibility and proposed conditions of Certificates, shall be filed with Commission docket control pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-204 and -205. If any documents that are filed are hand delivered during the hearing, eleven copies shall be submitted to the Chairman for distribution to the other Committee members.
- 20. Within five business days after the hearing concludes and the Committee renders its decision, the parties shall meet and confer in person or electronically to determine if they can agree upon the final wording of a proposed Certificate of Environmental Compatibility. If the parties can agree upon the final wording of a proposed Certificate of Environmental Compatibility, Applicant shall file forthwith the agreed upon proposed Certificate of Environmental Compatibility. If the parties are not able to agree upon a proposed form of Certificate of Environmental Compatibility, the Applicant shall file and the other parties may file within ten days after the date of the decision of the Committee those portions of the proposed Certificate of Environmental Compatibility upon which the parties agree. The Applicant also shall file and any other party also may file its understanding of any disputed portions of the proposed Certificate of Environmental Compatibility. All proposed forms of the Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and any objections or proposed revisions shall be filed with docket control of the Commission and a copy shall be hand delivered to the office of the Chairman at 1275 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona. Objections or suggestions that are not timely filed shall be considered waived. The copy of the proposed Certificate of Environmental Compatibility filed by the Applicant and any proposed revisions filed by the parties served upon the Chairman shall include an electronic file containing the wording of the proposed language in a format compatible with Microsoft® Word word processing program.

- 21. The Applicant and all other potential parties ("persons" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 40-360(8) who intend to intervene or request to intervene pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-360.05(A)) shall meet with the Chairman for a final pre-hearing conference on [date of final pre-hearing conference], at [time of pre-hearing conference] at the offices of the Attorney General of Arizona at 1275 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona. Parties may appear by telephone with the prior permission of the Chairman. At the final pre-hearing conference the Chairman will review with the parties:
  - a. The publication and posting of notices of the hearing;
  - b. The proposed agenda for the evidentiary hearing;
  - c. Any notices to intervene, applications to intervene, and applications to make a limited appearance;
  - d. The status of attempts to narrow the issues at the evidentiary hearing or to agree to language in the proposed findings of fact, proposed conclusions of law, proposed Certificates of Environmental Compatibility and proposed conditions to the Certificate;
  - e. The status of the filing and exchange of witness summaries or written testimony, proposed findings of fact, proposed conclusions of law, proposed Certificates of Environmental Compatibility and proposed conditions to the Certificate:
  - f. The status of the exchange of exhibits amongst the parties;
  - g. Any objections, motions, responses and legal memoranda that have been filed;
  - h. Plans and preparations for the hearing, public comment session, and tour of the proposed site.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, the Chairman may amend or waive any portion of this Procedural Order by subsequent Procedural Order, by ruling at a pre-hearing conference or at a hearing.

DATED:

John Foreman
Assistant Attorney General
Chairman
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission
Line Siting Committee
john.foreman@azag.gov

1	
2	Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-204, The Original and 25 copies were
3	filed [date] with:
4	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission
5	1200 W. Washington St.
6	Phoenix, AZ 85007
7	Copy of the above mailed this
8	[date] to:
9	[parties and counsel]
10	
11	#352156
12	1332130
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	



## **CONDITIONS**

This Certificate is granted upon the following conditions:

- The Applicant shall obtain all approvals and permits required by the United States, the State of Arizona, the County of [county], and any other governmental entities having jurisdiction necessary to construct the Project.
- 2. The Applicant shall comply with all existing applicable statutes, ordinances, master plans and regulations of the United States, the State of Arizona, the County of [county], and any other governmental entities having jurisdiction during the construction and operation of the transmission line [power plant].
- 3. If any archaeological, paleontological or historical site or object that is at least fifty years old is discovered on state, county or municipal land during the construction or operation of the transmission line [power plant], the Applicant or its representative in charge shall promptly report the discovery to the Director of the Arizona State Museum, and in consultation with the Director, shall immediately take all reasonable steps to secure and maintain the preservation of the discovery. A.R.S. § 41-844.
- 4. If human remains and/or funerary objects are encountered on private land during the course of any ground-disturbing activities relating to the construction or operation of the transmission line [power

plant], the Applicant shall cease work on the affected area of the Project and notify the Director of the Arizona State Museum. A.R.S. § 41-865.

- 5. The Applicant shall comply with the notice and salvage requirements of the Arizona Native Plant Law (A.R.S. §§ 3-901 et seq.) and shall, to the extent feasible, minimize the destruction of native plants during the construction and operation of the transmission line [power plant].
- 6. The Applicant shall not assign this Certificate or its interest in the Project authorized by this Certificate without prior approval of the Commission. Any assignment of this Certificate shall require the assignee to assume all responsibilities of the Applicant listed in this Certificate.
- 7. This authorization to construct this Project shall expire five years from the date the Certificate is approved by the Commission unless the transmission line [power plant] is capable of operation. However, prior to expiration, the Applicant or its assignees may request that the Commission extend this time limitation.
- 8. In the event that the Project requires an extension of the term of this Certificate prior to completion of construction, Applicant shall use reasonable means to notify all landowners and residents within one mile of the Project corridor [location], all persons who made public comment at this proceeding, and all parties to this proceeding of the request and the time and place of the hearing in which the Commission will consider the request for extension.

- 9. The Applicant shall make every reasonable effort to identify and correct, on a case-specific basis, all complaints of interference with radio or television signals from operation of the transmission lines and related facilities addressed in this Certificate. The Applicant shall maintain written records for a period of five years of all complaints of radio or television interference attributable to operation, together with the corrective action taken in response to each complaint. All complaints shall be recorded to include notations on the corrective action taken. Complaints not leading to a specific action or for which there was no resolution shall be noted and explained.
- 10. Within 120 days of the Commission decision granting this Certificate, Applicant will post signs in public rights-of-way giving notice of the Project corridor to the extent authorized by law. The Applicant shall place signs in prominent locations at reasonable intervals such that the public is notified along the full length of the transmission line until the transmission structures are constructed. To the extent practicable, within 45 days of securing easement or right-of-way for the Project, the Applicant shall erect and maintain signs providing public notice that the property is the site of a future transmission line. Such signage shall be no smaller than a normal roadway sign. The signs shall advise:
  - (a) That the site has been approved for the construction of Project facilities;
  - (b) The expected date of completion of the Project facilities;
  - (c) A phone number for public information regarding the Project;
  - (d) The name of the Project;
  - (e) The name of the Applicant; and
  - (f) The website of the Project.

- 11. Applicant, or its assignee(s), shall design the transmission lines to incorporate reasonable measures to minimize impacts to raptors.
- 12. Applicant, or its assignee(s), shall use non-specular conductor and dulled surfaces for transmission line structures.
- 13. Before construction on this Project may commence, the Applicant shall file a construction mitigation and restoration plan ("Plan") with ACC Docket Control. Where practicable, the Plan shall specify the Applicant's plans for construction access and methods to minimize impacts to wildlife and to minimize vegetation disturbance outside of the Project right-of-way particularly in drainage channels and along stream banks, and shall re-vegetate, unless waived by the landowner, native areas of construction disturbance to its preconstruction state outside of the power-line right of way after construction has been completed. The Plan shall specify the Applicant's plans for coordination with the Arizona Game and Fish Department and the State Historic Preservation Office. The Applicant shall use existing roads for construction and access where practicable and the Plan shall specify the manner in which the Applicant makes us of existing roads.
- 14. With respect to the Project, Applicant shall participate in good faith in state and regional transmission study forums to coordinate transmission expansion plans related to the Project and to resolve transmission constraints in a timely manner.
- 15. The Applicant shall provide copies of this Certificate to [all affected governmental entities, e.g., affected cities and counties, the Arizona State Land Department, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the Arizona Game and Fish Department].

- 16. Prior to the date construction commences on this Project, the Applicant shall provide known homebuilders and developers within one mile of the center line of the Certificated route [power plant] the identity, location, and a pictorial depiction of the type of power line [plant] being constructed, accompanied by a written description, and encourage the developers and homebuilders to include this information in the developers' and homebuilders' homeowners' disclosure statements.
- 17. Before commencing construction of Project facilities located parallel to and within 100 feet of any existing natural gas or hazardous liquid pipeline, the Applicant shall:
  - (a) Perform the appropriate grounding and cathodic protection studies to show that the Project's location parallel to and within 100 feet of such pipeline results in no material adverse impacts to the pipeline or to public safety when both the pipeline and the Project are in operation. If material adverse impacts are noted in the studies, Applicant shall take appropriate steps to ensure that such material adverse impacts are mitigated. Applicant shall provide to Commission Staff reports of studies performed; and
  - (b) Perform a technical study simulating an outage of the Project that may be caused by the collocation of the Project parallel to and within 100 feet of the existing natural gas or hazardous liquid pipeline. This study should either: i) show that such outage does not result in customer outages; or ii) include operating plans to minimize any resulting customer outages. Applicant shall provide a copy of this study to Commission Staff.

- 18. Applicant will follow the latest Western Electricity Coordinating

  Council/North American Electric Reliability Corporation Planning

  standards as approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
  and National Electrical Safety Code construction standards.
- 19. The Applicant shall submit a self-certification letter annually, identifying progress made with respect to each condition contained in the Certificate, including which conditions have been met. Each letter shall be submitted to the Docket Control of the Arizona Corporation Commission on December 1 beginning in 2009. Attached to each certification letter shall be documentation explaining how compliance with each condition was achieved. Copies of each letter along with the corresponding documentation shall be submitted to the Arizona Attorney General and Department of Commerce Energy Office. The requirement for the self-certification shall expire on the date the Project is placed into operation.
- 20. Within sixty (60) days of the Commission decision granting this

  Certificate, the Applicant shall make good faith efforts to commence
  discussions with private landowners, on whose property the Project
  corridor is located, to identify the specific location for the Project's
  right-of-way and placement of poles.
- 21. The Applicant shall expeditiously pursue reasonable efforts to work with private landowners on whose property the Project right-of-way will be located, to mitigate the impacts of the location, construction, and operation of the Project on private land.

## FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This Certificate incorporates the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

- The Project is in the public interest because it aids the state in meeting the need for an adequate, economical and reliable supply of electric power.
- In balancing the need for the Project with its effect on the environment and ecology of the state, the conditions placed on the CEC by the Committee effectively minimize its impact on the environment and ecology of the state.
- 3. The conditions placed on the CEC by the Committee resolve matters concerning the need for the Project and its impact on the environment and ecology of the state raised during the course of proceedings, and as such, serve as the findings on the matters raised.
- 4. In light of these conditions, the balancing in the broad public interest results in favor of granting the CEC.